Name Collision Mitigation for Enterprise Networks

Paul Hoffman, VPN Consortium

Name Collision Workshop, March 2014

What's the problem?

- Organizations with private namespaces that leak requests for name lookup to the global DNS can get wrong answers
 - Might or might not be private networks: it doesn't matter
- The shorter version of the problem: leak
- This presentation is (mostly) about enterprises doing the mitigation for themselves, not about ICANN doing the mitigation

Primary types of private namespaces

Names rooted in a private TLD

- On a private network, this makes complete sense if you believe requests will never leak
- For many years, enterprises creating private TLDs was considered a best practice
- Shortened names from global DNS names
 - Also called search lists
 - Some still consider using search lists a best practice
 - www.qa has the same problems as mail.corp

The most-proposed solution: don't leak

- Preventing leaks would be reliable if:
 - All of the firewalls have reliable, up-to-date DNS proxies
 - There is consistent policy across every firewall
 - No user ever roams outside the protected boundary
- None of those are realistic for modern enterprise networks

The next-most-proposed solution: change to another private TLD

- Assuming that the enterprise was using Microsoft Server and/or Active Directory, that would hopefully make sense
- ...until you look at the documentation for how to do it
- ...and until you realize that it is just delaying the pain and causing a second transition later

Is this really a problem?

- Users sent to unexpected web sites, mail sent to wrong recipients, and so on
- Security reductions due to systems that are relying on the correct resolution of private names
- Yadda, yadda, yadda
- But: the problem is really that organizations are unlikely to see the problems or be able to reliably trace the causes

There are reliable mitigation plans

- For names rooted in a private TLD: change names to use ones rooted in the global DNS
- For networks using shortened names: stop doing that
- Neither of these is easy, and both require deep research to where the old names (private or shortened) are currently being used

When to mitigate

- Before now, probably a few years ago
- Determining the so-called "potential for collisions" for a private namespace is nearly impossible
- Even if the root of someone's private namespace is not one of the applied-for gTLDs, ICANN might surprise everyone and give "variant" gTLDs that were not applied for

Mitigating for private TLDs in one slide

- Monitor name requests
- 2. Create host inventory
- 3. Find name servers
- 4. Change to new names rooted in the global DNS
- 5. [Add IPs for TLS]
- 6. Monitor for name equivalence
- 7. Train users

- 8. Change hosts to use new names
- 9. Look for continuing use of old names
- 10. Long-term monitoring
- 11. Point old names at non-functioning address
- 12. [Revoke old certs]
- 13.Keep serving both names

Mitigating for private TLDs in one slide

- 1. Monitor name requests
- 2. Create host inventory
- 3. Train users
- 4. Change hosts to use longer names
- 5. Turn off search lists in resolvers
- 6. Look for continuing use of short names
- 7. Long-term monitoring

The problem goes beyond enterprises

- A host of peer-to-peer protocols have popped up in recent years
- Many of these protocols have chosen a namespace that looks a lot like the DNS, and some even use the DNS protocol
- They don't appear to care about leakage, but probably should be very concerned

Combining enterprise mitigation and ICANN mitigation (1)

- Enterprises are responsible for their network operations
- Every enterprise has known forever that ICANN would delegate TLDs that collide with some private namespaces
 - Every new ccTLD probably does this
- The only way for enterprises to not be surprised by ICANN is to use names from the global DNS

Combining enterprise mitigation and ICANN mitigation (2)

- ICANN can choose to promise to not delegate the obviously most-harmful TLDs, such as .mail and .home
 - Or the IETF can tell them to do so for technical reasons
- The value of ICANN restrictions on SLDs are much less clear
 - We cannot predict when a request from a private namespace will leak, or why

Combining enterprise mitigation and ICANN mitigation (3)

- ICANN not trying to protect enterprises will certainly cause some damage to enterprises who are using unsafe IT practices
- ICANN trying to protect enterprises will certainly cause some enterprises to delay fixing their unsafe IT practices
- ICANN: parent? police? predictable?